

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/827,563	04/06/2001	Marion Calmer	P3098	6406	
33512 7590 03/04/2004			EXAMINER		
JAY R. HAMILTON, REGISITERED U.S. PATENT ATTORNEY			MAMMEN, NATHAN SCOTT		
PO BOX 1658 BETTENDORI	F. IA 52272-0028		ART UNIT PAPER NUM		
	,		3671	Reman	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/827,563	04/06/2001	Marion Calmer	P3098 6406		
7590 12/16/2003			EXAMINER		
Rockey, Milnamow & Katz, Ltd.			MAMMEN, NATHAN SCOTT		
47th Floor Two Prudential	Plaza		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL 6	50601	•	3671		
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	•	Ā	Application No	D.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		(09/827,563		CALMER, MARION			
		E	Examiner		Art Unit			
			Nathan S Mam		3671			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuting to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after add patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a ication. days, a reply withory period will a l. by statute. ca	a). In no event, ho ithin the statutory napply and will expirate the application.	wever, may a reply be tim ninimum of thirty (30) days re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>20 June</u>	e <u>2003</u> .	•				
•	•		tion is non-fir	nal.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) Claim(s) 16-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 16-24 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 25, 26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
-	on Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Interest to the Interest that any objection and the Interest that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	a) accept on to the dra ne correction	awing(s) be he	ld in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International cet the attached detailed Office action for the acknowledgment is made of a claim for ince a specific reference was included in the foreign language. 7 CFR 1.78. 1 The translation of the foreign language. 1 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the foreign language.	ocuments he priority all Bureau (la for a list of domestic part the first stage provision domestic provision	nave been reconave been reconave been reconave been reconstruction and the certified priority under sentence of the sional application of the certification and the certificatio	ceived. ceived in Application have been received. (2(a)). copies not received 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) he specification or ation has been received 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National d. e) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	l application) Data Sheet. a specific		
Attachmen			_	_				
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap		5)	Interview Summary Notice of Informal P Other:				

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 1-3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The word "invention" in line 7 should be replaced.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rayfield (U.S. Patent 5,784,869).

Application/Control Number: 09/827,563 Page 3

Art Unit: 3671

The Rayfield '869 patent discloses an arrangement of functional elements in a row crop harvester attachment with a mobile threshing unit. The arrangement comprises the following functional elements: A row crop harvester (3) having a main frame attachment mounted to a mobile harvesting unit (1), a first conveyor system (12) in the row crop harvester including a power source connected to a plurality of row units (5) for removing grain from the stalks and conveying the material including the grain up a first inclined plane to exits from the first conveyor system, and a second conveyor system (6) at right angles to the first conveyor system and including a power source. The second conveyor system (an auger having an auger trough) conveys the material from the exits of the first conveyor system to an area at the center of the plurality of conveyor units for exit from the second conveyor system. An open area (11) is connected by an inclined plane between the exit of the second conveying system to the entrance of the mobile threshing unit. A third conveying system (4) includes a power source in the mobile threshing unit for retrieving material and delivering the material to the thresher mechanism. The plate (examiner's ref. D –see attached copies of. Fig. 3 and 4) reduces the curvature of the auger trough from the midpoint of the auger trough through the exit of the second conveyor system. The height of the entrance of the third conveyor system is reduced with respect to the exit of the second conveyor system by adjusting the second conveyor system by slots B.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 16-24 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/827,563 Page 4

Art Unit: 3671

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 6/20/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

When examining together Figs. 3 and 4 of Rayfield, it can be seen that the plate (D) reduces the curvature of the exit from the auger as compared with the auger portions on the left and right side of the feeder house openings. Applicant admits that slots B can be used to change the angle of the header with respect to the feeder house. See page 7 of Applicant's arguments. Applicant argues that this change of angle would not be done unless the operator of the Rayfield device was changing the size of the tires. See page 8. Applicant's arguments are pertinent, but not persuasive. The header can be adjusted with respect to the feeder house whether the tire size is changed or not.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Mammen whose telephone number is (703) 306-5959. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached at (703) 308-3870. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/827,563 Page 5

Art Unit: 3671

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-1113.

Thomas B. Will

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600

NSM 12/12/03

Nathan S. Mammen